

SECRET

17 JUL 1969

DD/S&T-2832-69

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/NIPE

SUBJECT: Organizational Survey

REFERENCE: Report to the DCI, dated 20 June 69

Herewith my belated comments. In D/DCI/NIPE's 17 Feb 69 memorandum to me, you suggested I particularly note certain specified sections:

(1) DDS&T (pages 12-13). I have no comment on the general thrust of this section which favors "keeping substantially all of the present components of the present DDS&T together." There might be a small possibility that the first sentence at top of page 13 would be misconstrued. I do not believe you intended that "the separation of the production responsibilities of OSI and FMSAC from the DDI" be considered as a "serious impairment of the Agency's ability to perform its basic substantive and operational missions..."

(2) SIGINT activity (pages 15-18). Following the preparation of this report, changes in organization in the SIGINT field have been proposed and accepted, and I am in accord with them.

(3) Information handling facilities (pages 25-26). I believe the question of shifting OCS from DDS&T has been well discussed in the intervening months and that there is presently no disagreement. D/CCS's comments follow, with which I agree.

NRO review(s) completed.

DD/S&T
FILE COPY

SECRET

SECRET

"Given continuation of the management philosophy that OCS should be a centralized service of common concern (as distinct from establishing a self-sufficient computer center for each directorate), the conditions that prevailed in 1963 when OCS was established within the S&T Directorate still prevail. ADP support to S&T computational, simulation and modeling and information retrieval needs have grown as strongly (even as explosively) as anticipated. Third generation equipment implementation has required a professional scientific, as well as technical, personnel environment to attract and retain the kinds of people needed. Understanding of this equipment and operational implementation of time-sharing multiple processing tasks--applicable to other directorates as well as S&T--require still more time. Commo and OCS are accelerating toward one another and each is rapidly learning more about the other's technology, but single management control at this time, we feel, could lead to OCS being swallowed in the larger Commo environment--and Commo has precious few people who know anything about ADP hardware other than switching devices. SIPS has been placed in a joint DDS/OCS task force environment which could lead to recommendations concerning support to the DD/S, but, again, it is too soon to say whether organizational changes are needed. The original concern, that OCS location in DDS&T would lead to priority conflicts with other directorates, simply has not occurred. And the allegation that OCS cannot be aware of others' needs, including the O/DCI, is belied both by the recent increased request pattern, including the O/DCI, and the Information Processing Coordinator mechanism under the Executive Director-Comptroller's purview."

OCS

(4) Research by outside contractors (pages 52-53). I have discussed the broadening of the review functions, as suggested, of SIC, JAERC and CHAIC with the respective Chairmen of these committees. I feel that your suggestion is quite workable and would welcome the opportunity to try it out. Within the DDS&T we have examined areas of potential overlap and have suggested procedures and methods within DDS&T which

SECRET

will reduce the potential to a minimum. Our previous report on this aspect of analysis contracts is contained in a memorandum of 3 February 1969 to Executive Director-Comptroller.

(5) Managerial improvements in the NRO (pages 53-57). I have no further comments to offer on this section.



Deputy Director
for
Science and Technology

25X1

cc: Executive Director-Comptroller

Distribution:

Original & 1 - D/DCI/NIFE
1 - EO/DD/S&T
2 - DD/S&T Registry
1 - Executive Director-Compt.

O/DDS&T/ [redacted] (17 July 69)

25X1

-2-

SECRET